

I have come here tonight with several questions about the Fire /Emergency Dept. and a couple other issues. I am well aware of the fact that you will not answer questions or issues that are not given to you 10 or more days ahead of time, so that is why am here asking them tonight and will ask to be on the next agenda to receive my answers. The fact that a person has to wait 10 plus days would assure me that the answers to my questions would not be things like "we're working on it or we don't know".

My first few questions in about the replacement of the fire fighter/emt that you fired in May, 4 months ago.

1. I would like to ask who wrote this ad that was to be put in the newspaper.

Did you go to the Fire Dept Officers and ask them to write it as they would know what they needed.

If you had asked them to do it then the several mistakes that are in it and that make the town look like they don't have a clue what they want are:

EMT Intermediate, there is no such thing they are known as EMT (Emergency Medical Technical) and the next level up is called Advanced Emergency Medical Technical or AEMT.

Any body that would apply for this job would know the difference!!

And instead of experience with administrative duties and computers skills it should have said at least that they need to be proficient in TEMSIS EMS Incident Reports. That is what needs to go in after each incident and is the way they get paid.

This doesn't list any of the requirements that are needed for either the EMT or Firefighter position. Anybody can say they've been firefighters for at least 2 years and never gone on a run!!

2. I would like to ask where this ad was run and for how long.

I never saw it in the Democrat or Courier. Are you aware that to run an ad with the Salmon Press you have your ad put into all the papers from about Laconia north?

3. Mark, you did a lot of hiring and firing where you worked before how long would you have run an ad for an important position such as this one and in how many newspapers?

4. Have you put together a committee of fire fighters, EMT, and one select board member, as we did when Jeremy was hired?

Please tell me that the three of you don't truly believe that you are even close to being qualified to do interviews on a job that you have NO knowledge of. When we interviewed the position that Jeremy holds we had the fire Chief from Bethlehem, 3 members of the fire dept/emergency dept and one select rep. We met several times before the interviews started, made a list of questions to ask each applicant and went over

each of the applicants to make sure they had all the requirements that were needed and in the ad that was put in the news papers.

5. I would like to know how the board feels that they should be combining the full time fire fighter position and the Chief position into one. When the people of the Town of Carroll voted not to allow this to happen.

I am sure that Bill has some RSA backing what you are doing and I would hope that you had asked Bernie if this was legal. But my problem with the idea is that no matter what the time frame, one year or 5 the people, the same ones who voted you into office, voted against doing this, but you have put yourself on that pedestal and decided that you know what is better for them then themselves. I as a voter, tax payer take great offense to that, I feel that should be put to a vote of the people again and let them change their minds not you.

6. I would like to know what the status of the purchase of the new utility truck for the fire dept is at.

Mark worked a great deal of time with Jeff on changing things around so that the Fire Dept and Emergency Dept were going to be under different budget, etc. Part of that package was to change the vote for the new truck to read \$1.00 so that the board could use the money in the Capital Reserve to fund the purchase of a new truck. I have not seen one around and it does not appear that the board is working with the Fire Dept. as they had made the public believe that they were going to.

7. What is the status on releasing the minutes from the non public meetings?

One of the first meetings that Bill was in office he and Mark voted to put a new rule into effect that stated after a certain period of time, the board was to go over the non public minutes and if they were not about welfare issues, or pending legal, then they were to become open to the public. Has this happened, and are the non public meetings that have been going on since March 2012 available to the public, because I would certainly like to read them, and would be happy to pay for my copies.